Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/961,403	HESS-STUMPP ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ethan Whisenant, Ph.D.	1634
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):	
(1) Ethan Whisenant, Ph.D.	(3)	
(2) Robert McCarthy.	(4)	
Date of Interview: week of 23 MAR 03.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representa	ative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.	
Claim(s) discussed:		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached	d. g)□ was not reached.	h) N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the gener reached, or any other comments: In respone to a telephotexaminer that the Office action mailed 02 JAN 03 had no and restart the time period for response.	onic inquiry, the applicant's re	epresentative informed the
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amerallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendments that	agreed would render the claims at would render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requires	ne last Office action has alread OFILE A STATEMENT OF THE	ndy been filed, APPLICANT IS HE SUBSTANCE OF THE
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's si	gnature, if required